09/062714



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIÁL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/062,714 04/20/98 ROTHMON N 205050

QM41/0618

NIXON & VANDERHYE 1100 NORTH GLEBE RD 8TH FLOOR ARLINGTON VA 22201-4714

EXAMINER	
, and the same	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ن در پسرس	4
DATE MAILED:	/

06/18/99

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

De enclosed Office action.

Commissioner of Patents

PTOL-90 (Rev. 6/84)

Application No.

09/062,714

Applicant(s)

Neil S. Rothman et al.

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Benjamin Koo

Group Art Unit 3764



Responsive to communication(s) filed on
☐ This action is FINAL .
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).
Disposition of Claims
Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s) is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.
☐ Claims 1-11 are subject to restriction or election requirement.
are subject to restriction of election requirement.
Application Papers
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐approved ☐disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been
☐ received.
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)
\square received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/062,714 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Group I drawn to Fig. 1, Group II drawn to Fig. 6, Group III drawn to Fig. 8, Group IV drawn to Fig. 9, Group V drawn to Fig. 10.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 09/062,714

Art Unit: 3764

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 2.

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning the specifics of this communication should be directed to 3.

Examiner Ben Koo at 703-308-2657.

bk

June 14, 1999

Richard J. Apley

Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700

Page 3